

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/653,214	53,214 09/03/2003		Takeshi Matsunuma	67161-084	. 2751		
7:	590	11/03/2004		EXAM	MINER		
McDermott, Will & Emery 600 13th Street, N.W.				ESTRADA,	ESTRADA, MICHELLE		
Washington, DC 20005-3096			•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				2823			

DATE MAILED: 11/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
•	10/653,214	MATSUNUMA, TAKESHI					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Michelle Estrada	2823					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address					
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a refull in Interest of the maximum statutory perioderial interest or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH: ute, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13	October 2004.						
<u> </u>	nis action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	<u>'</u>						
closed in accordance with the practice under	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application	l.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) 6-9 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.						
0)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner. Note the attached C	Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority docume							
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received in App	lication No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bure	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)	_						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		mary (PTO-413) fail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0	8) 5) 🔲 Notice of Infor	mal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/653,214

Art Unit: 2823

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of Group II (claims 6-9) in the reply filed on 10/13/04 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: the first species, which pertains to the First Embodiment described in page 6, lines 15-20, comprising a barrier layer formed to cover said interconnection and a dielectric layer of said capacitor are formed with the same layer (See Fig. 9); and the second species, which pertains to the Second Embodiment described in page 11, lines 6-9, comprising a barrier layer formed to cover said interconnection and a dielectric layer of said capacitor are formed with the layers different from each other (See Figs. 18-23).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 10/653,214

Art Unit: 2823

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michelle Estrada whose telephone number is 571-272-1858. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

Art Unit: 2823

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Olik Chaudhuri can be reached on 571-272-1855. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-2800.

Michelle Estrada
Examiner
Art Unit 2823

ME October 29, 2004